# D.K. Govt.College for Women (A), Nellore Internal Quality Assurance cell <br> Report on Student Feedback on Teachers -2020-2021 

Internal quality assurance cell of the college under takes the process of taking feedback on the quality of the teacher following the given parameters which are mentioned in the feedback formats of NAAC.
The IQAC of the college adopted the feedback format given by NAAC by modifying some parameters and adding some more keeping in view of the context of the college. As is the practise, the feedback is conducted in the month of February 2021 as the academic year comes to a close by March $31^{\text {st }}$. The principal of the college personally monitors the whole process and is conducted in a confidential manner.
$10 \%$ sample is considered for feedback for each teacher and it is in ratio with the number of students that a teacher teaches in an academic year covering all the years of the course. The rating scale is the following:

| 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very <br> good | good |  | Satisfactory | Average | poor |  |  |  |  |

Following are the parameters on which the feedback on teacher quality and performance are considered.

1) Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)
2) Communication Skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility.
3) Punctuality, Sincerity \& Commitment of the teacher for classes
4) Teacher's preparation for the class and use of Teaching Aids (ICT etc).
5) Extent of encouragement given for student participation in the class.
6) Interest generated by the teacher through Teaching aids / discussions
7) Friendliness \& courteous behaviour of teacher
8) Fairness of Internal Assessment
9) Regularity of feedback and detailed discussion of evaluated assessments.
10) Handling of student grievances by the teacher.
11) Integration of course material with issues like gender equity, environmental awareness etc. for a broader perspective.
12) Ability to integrate content with other courses.
13) Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class).
14) Ability to design quizzes /Tests / assignments / examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course.
15) Overall rating.

Observations:

- All the four faculty members of department of Home science are rated as good or very good in all the parameters that they are assessed for.
- All the three faculty members of department of Mathematics are rated as good and very good for all the parameters
- Both the faculty members of department of Physics are rated as good and very good for all the parameters by all the respondents
- All the Four faculty members of department of Chemistry are rated as good and very good for all the parameters by all the respondents and one faculty rated satisfactory for $3^{2 d} .9^{\text {th }}$ and $14^{\text {t }}$ parameters by $50 \%$ of respondents.
- Both the faculty members of department of Botany are rated as good and very good for all the parameters by all the respondents
- All the five faculty members of department of Zoology are rated as good and very good for all the parameters by all the respondents
- The faculty member of department of Biotechnology is rated as good and very good for all the parameters by all the respondents
- All the four faculty members of department of Computer Science are rated as good and very good for all the parameters by all the respondents
- Both the two faculty members of department of Statistics are rated as good and very good for all the parameters by all the respondents
- Both the two faculty members of department of History are rated as good and very good for all the parameters by all the respondents
- Both the two faculty members of department of Political Science is rated as good and very good for all the parameters by all the respondents
- Both the faculty members of department of Economics is rated as good and very good for all the parameters by all the respondents
- The faculty member of department of Urdu is rated as good and very good for all the parameters by all the respondents
- All the four faculty members of department of Commerce are rated as good and very good for all the parameters by all the respondents
- All the four faculty members of department of Telugu are rated as good and very good for all the parameters by all the respondents
- All the five faculty members of department of English are rated as good and very good for all the parameters by all the respondents.

